

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 3, 4, 7-18 and 33-62.

Applicants will now address the Examiner's remaining rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102

Rejection Over Bell

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner rejects Claims 2, 31 and 32 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Bell. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

While Applicants traverse this rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have amended independent Claim 2 to recite "wherein the one frame period includes the period and a writing period." In the present invention, one frame has a writing period and a light emission period, as shown, for example, in Fig.2 of the present application (see also page 21, lns. 21-22).

Bell does not appear to disclose or suggest this feature. Therefore, independent Claim 2 and those claims dependent thereon are patentable over the cited reference. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Rejection Over Kanaly

The Examiner also rejects Claims 20 and 25 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Kanaly. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

While Applicants traverse this rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicants have amended independent Claim 20 to recite "wherein the

one frame period includes the period and a writing period.” As explained above, there is clear support in the present application for this feature.

Kanaly does not appear to disclose or suggest this feature. Therefore, independent Claim 20 and those claims dependent thereon are patentable over the cited reference. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The Examiner also rejects Claims 26 under 35 USC §103 as being unpatentable over Kanaly in view of Okumura. This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

For at least the reasons discussed above, dependent Claim 26 is patentable over the cited references. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Conclusion


Accordingly, the present application is now in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

If any a fee is due for this amendment, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: *October 12, 2004*


Mark J. Murphy
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.
200 West Adams Street
Suite 2850
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 236-8500

Customer no. 000026568